MINUTES

Local Planning Panel

Thursday, 17 August 2023

4.00pm

Blended Meeting Online and Georges River Civic Centre Corner Dora and MacMahon Streets, Hurstville

Panel Members:

Mr Stephen Alchin	(Chairperson)
Ms Annette Ruhotas	(Expert Panel Member)
Mr Ian Armstrong	(Expert Panel Member)
Ms Jenny Simpson	(Community Representative)

1. APOLOGIES AND DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

There were no apologies received

There were no declarations of Pecuniary Interest

2. PUBLIC SPEAKERS

The meeting commenced at 4.02pm and at the invitation of the Chair, registered speakers were invited to address the panel on the items listed below.

The public speakers concluded at 5.18pm and the LPP Panel proceeded into Closed Session to deliberate the items listed below.

3. GEORGES RIVER LOCAL PLANNING PANEL REPORTS

LPP022-23 Proposed Planning Proposal for 28 Carlton Crescent, Kogarah Bay (Report by Strategic Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

Speakers

There were no speakers for this item.

However, the Panel noted correspondence from Wilshire Webb Staunton Beattie Lawyers on behalf of the owners of the property asking that the Panel defer any recommendation that the proposed Planning Proposal for Gateway determination.

Voting of the Panel Members

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

That the Georges River LPP support the attached Planning Proposal to amend the *Georges River Local Environmental Plan (GRLEP) 2021* by amending the listing of Heritage Item No. 1208 ('*House and front garden, "Bayview*'') at 28A Carlton Crescent, Kogarah Bay by:

- a) Revising the item name from 'House and front garden, "Bayview" to "Bayview" house and garden, boatshed, garage and summerhouse' in Schedule 5 Environmental heritage.
- b) Revising the address from '28A Carlton Crescent, Kogarah Bay' to '28 and 28A Carlton Crescent, Kogarah Bay' in Schedule 5 Environmental heritage.
- c) Revising the property description to include both Lots 21 and 22 in Section 15 of Deposited Plan (DP) 1963 in Schedule 5 Environmental heritage.

d) Updating the Heritage Map to reflect the above changes.

That the Georges River LPP recommends to Council that the attached Planning Proposal to amend the *Georges River Local Environmental Plan (GRLEP) 2021* be forwarded to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination under Section 3.34 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.*

LPP023-23 18 Stuart Street, Blakehurst

(Report by Consultant Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

Speakers

- Barbara van Waart (submitter)
- Liana Karabatsos (submitter)
- Arthur Karabatsos (submitter)
- Andre Fernandes de Macedo (submitter Council was unable to contact this speaker)
- Aline Guedes Pinto (submitter)
- Russell Curley (submitter provided written submission as was unable to attend)
- Darren Laybutt (applicant)

Voting of the Panel Members

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Approval

Pursuant to Section 4.16 (1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended), Development Application DA2022/0015 for demolition of existing structures and the construction of a two storey 72 place childcare centre with basement parking for 19 vehicles, 4 bicycle spaces, one motor bike space, landscaping and site works at Lot 9 DP 560354 and known as 18 Stuart Street, Blakehurst, is granted Development Consent subject to:

The Conditions recommended in the report to the Georges River Local Planning Panel meeting of 17 August 2023, subject to the amendments as follows:

Include additional condition 15a to read as follows:

- 15a. Amended plans are to be submitted to the satisfaction of Council's Manager Development and Building demonstrating the following amendments prior to the release of a Construction Certificate:
 - a. The acoustic screen proposed to the upper level outdoor terrace to the southeastern façade facing "18 and 18a James Street Blakehurst" is to incorporate an obscure finish to prevent overlooking of the properties to the rear.
 - b. An electronic vehicle parking availability system and associated active display is to be incorporated into the development, to provide notification to drivers accessing the basement parking as to the current availability of parking spaces.

Once approval from Council's Manager Development and Building has been obtained this criterion is to be included in all Construction Certificate plans and documents.

Statement of Reasons

The reasons for this decision are that:

- The proposed development is a permissible land use that satisfies the objectives of the R2 Low Density zone of Georges Rivers Local Environmental Plan 2021.
- The proposal satisfies the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017 and the Child Care Planning Guideline.
- The proposed development complies with the requirements of the other relevant environmental planning instruments.
- The proposal is an appropriate response to the site and is consistent with the desired future character of the R2 Low Density zone and existing developments in the locality relating to bulk, scale, height and setbacks.
- In general, the proposed development will not unreasonably affect the amenity of any immediately adjoining properties in terms of traffic, car parking, noise, overshadowing or view loss. However, changes to the design of the acoustic screening on the upper level terrace would improve privacy to adjoining properties and a condition has been imposed in this regard.
- The development has been appropriately conditioned to address and manage concerns relating to construction and operational traffic and protection of the vegetation within Stuart Park.
- The proposal has been designed to be consistent with the streetscape of the locality.

LPP024-23 5A The Strand, Penshurst

(Report by Principal Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

Speakers

• Warwick Gosling (applicant)

Voting of the Panel Members

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Approval,

That Georges River Local Planning Panel support the request for variation under Clause 4.6 of Georges River Local Environmental Plan 2021, in relation to the Height of Building (Clause 4.3) development standard, as the variation sought satisfies the objectives of the standard and sufficient environmental planning grounds have been provided in the written request for variation justifying that compliance would be unnecessary and unreasonable in the circumstances of the case. The proposal is also in the public interest and it satisfies the objectives of the zone resulting in no adverse environmental impacts.

Pursuant to Section 4.16 (1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended), Development Application DA2021/0244 for demolition works and construction of a shop top housing development, site works and landscaping on Lots 19 and 20 in DP8761 known as 5A-7 The Strand, Penshurst, is granted Development Consent subject to:

The Conditions recommended in the report to the Georges River Local Planning Panel meeting of 17 August 2023, subject to the amendments as follows:

Amend condition 41, 80 and 94 to read as follows:

- **41. Required design changes** The following changes are required to be made. The changes are to be shown on the Construction Certificate plans: <u>Facade and exterior amendments</u>
 - a) West-facing windows on level 5 shall be amended to complement proportions of west-facing bay windows on levels 2 to 4: the bedroom window shall be altered to incorporate two panes from the typical bay windows below, and a continuous band of horizontally-proportioned high-lights shall be provided for the ensuite and main bathroom.
 - b) North-facing bedroom windows on levels 1 to 4 which face the light-well shall be amended to incorporate proportions of west-facing bay windows on levels 2 to 4: each window shall incorporate tall vertically-proportions which match a single pane in the typical bay windows, and vertical alignments of windows shall be staggered to achieve fire-separation.
 - c) Northern and southern-side elevations shall incorporate continuous panels of brickwork (or an equivalent material such as pre-cast panels with embedded brick tiles) without interruption by projecting slab-edges.
 - d) Steel framed pergolas shall be provided for the following locations, and shall incorporate pipe-columns that are arranged to align with projecting window bays:
 - i. Ground floor west-facing: across the full width of the commercial terrace;
 - ii. Level one west-facing: across the full elevation;
 - iii. Level four west-facing: above indented balconies to units 16 and 17;
 - iv. Level five west-facing: above the full width of the outdoor dining area, together with the lobby walkway;
 - v. Level five east-facing: across the full elevation.
 - e) The planar western elevation of the basement shall be articulated by three recessed panels that straddle doors to the garbage store, the vehicle entrance and adjacent fire door, and the primary fire-egress adjacent the SW corner.
 - f) West-facing windows which are not protected by balconies or pergolas shall incorporate exterior sun-screening to reduce indoor glare and summer heat-loading.

Reasons: to co-ordinate the architectural compositions of facades and exterior elements in order to demonstrate satisfactory quality with regard to context and streetscape, as well as to achieve satisfactory indoor amenity.

Technical amendments

g) The ground floor plan shall incorporate structural columns in locations that match or complement basement columns.

Reason: to avoid the need for future modifications which may not be subject to comprehensive evaluation of design quality.

Interior amendments

- h) The ground floor lobby shall be extended to the street frontage, and adjacent to the dining undercroft, shall be enclosed by see-through security screens which complement architectural design of the eastern elevation.
- i) Unit 1 service areas and storage shall be reconfigured:
 - i. Facing the apartment's entrance hallway, provide a bank of storage cupboards approximately 3m long and 600mm deep, and adjust the kitchen layout as required;
 - ii. The main bathroom shall incorporate a standard galley layout with all fixtures arranged along the eastern wall, and with a wider shower stall for accessibility;
 - iii. The laundry shall be rotated to provide a galley arrangement for wider cabinets (and equipment) along the western wall;
 - iv. The ensuite and walk-in robe shall be swapped in order to consolidate plumbing runs along the northern side wall.
- j) Unit 2 service areas and storage shall be reconfigured:
 - i. The kitchen, laundry, cupboards and a TV shelf unit shall be consolidated as a single run across the full width of the apartment's northern wall;
 - ii. The apartment entrance shall be reconfigured as necessary, and the laundry as well as scattered cupboards shall be relocated or deleted;
 - iii. Walls surrounding the 'island' laundry and cupboard shall be deleted.
- k) Unit 3 service areas and storage shall be reconfigured:
 - i. The bathroom shall be reconfigured as a standard 1.5m wide 'galley' with all fixtures and a hydraulic duct arranged along the western wall;
 - ii. The walk-in robe shall be replaced by cupboards along the western wall of the bedroom together with a study alcove;
 - iii. Kitchen and living room cabinets shall be arranged in a continuous L–shaped run, and the corner lined cupboard shall be deleted.
- I) Unit 4 service areas and storage shall be reconfigured:
 - i. The kitchen, laundry, cupboards and a TV shelf unit shall be consolidated as a single run across the full width of the apartment's northern wall;
 - ii. The laundry and linen cupboard shall be reconfigured as a 'bed-head' wardrobe;
 - iii. The apartment entrance shall be moved southwards to accommodate the single run of cabinets (and the entrance to the neighbouring unit 3 shall be reconfigured accordingly).
- m) Unit 5 on level 1 shall be reconfigured:
 - i. The laundry shall be rotated to provide a galley arrangement for wider cabinets;
 - ii. The ensuite shall be widened to achieve a standard 1.5m wide galley bathroom which has all fixtures arranged along the eastern wall;
 - iii. The floor plan layout is to be modified in the following way:
 - The living room shall be relocated adjacent to the balcony
 - The bathroom shall be relocated to where the living room is shown and the window is to have the same dimensions as the bathroom in unit 1.

- The kitchen shall be relocated to where the bathroom is shown.
- The dining room shall be relocated to where the kitchen is shown.
- n) Units 6, 10 and 14 shall reconfigure service areas and storage:
 - i. The ensuite shall be reconfigured as a standard 1.5m wide 'galley' with all fixtures arranged along the western wall, and the walk-in robe shall be replaced by cupboards along the western wall of the bedroom;
 - ii. Facing the apartment's entrance hallway, a bank of storage cupboards approximately 2.2m long and 600mm deep shall be provided, and the laundry shall be relocated to a cupboard which abuts the southern wall of the ensuite (amended per the preceding condition).
- o) Units 7, 11 and 15 shall reconfigure service areas and storage:
 - i. The kitchen, laundry and cupboards shall be consolidated as a single run across the full width of the apartment's northern wall;
 - ii. The apartment entrance shall be reconfigured as necessary, and the laundry as well as scattered cupboards shall be relocated or deleted;
 - iii. The southern wall of the living room shall incorporate a continuous run of cabinets and TV shelving.
 - iv. Note: condition 3i has not been used to ensure clear cross-referencing with diagrams
- p) Units 8, 12 and 16 shall reconfigure service areas and storage:
 - i. The ensuite shall be reconfigured similar to the standard 1.5m wide 'galley' with a shower stall and toilet along the eastern wall, the hydraulic duct shall be relocated from the southern wall to the western end of the shower stall, and a basin in place of the current duct on the splayed southern wall;
 - ii. The study entrance shall be recessed to match the western kitchen benchtop;
 - iii. The doorway to bedroom one shall be relocated as a sliding door next to the western elevation, and living room storage shall be consolidated as a single continuous run of TV shelves and a storage cupboard along the southern living room wall;
 - iv. The doorway to bedroom two shall be moved westwards to accommodate a fullwidth wardrobe along the eastern bedroom wall.
- q) Units 9, 13 and 17 shall reconfigure service areas and storage:
 - i. The ensuite shall be reconfigured as a standard 1.5m wide 'galley' with all fixtures arranged along the western wall, and the walk-in robe shall be replaced by cupboards along the eastern wall of the bedroom;

ii. Facing the apartment's entrance hallway, a bank of storage cupboards approximately 2.2m long and 600mm deep shall be provided, and the laundry shall be relocated to a cupboard which abuts the southern wall of the ensuite (amended per the preceding condition).

- r) The front façade of the commercial tenancy fronting The Strand is to be relocated toward the eastern site boundary to align with the front façade of the property known as 3 The Strand, reducing the recess of the commercial tenancy when viewed and accessed from The Strand.
- s) Height access safety points are to be installed on the roof to enable maintenance of the lightwell and associated landscaping on the northern side of the building.

Reasons: to provide satisfactory residential amenity for all apartments and adequate safety for the primary building entrance in order to demonstrate appropriate design quality.

Plans addressing the matters identified above are to be submitted to Georges River Council for the written approval of Council's Manager Development and Building and endorsed by Council's Stamp. The Construction Certificate plans are to be consistent with any design amendment plans approved under this condition.

80. Restriction to User and Positive Covenant for Car Stacker Facility

A Restriction on Use of the Land and Positive Covenant shall be created and registered on the title of the property, which places the responsibility for the maintenance of the onsite car stacker system on the owners of the land. The terms of the instrument are to be in accordance with Council's standard terms and restrictions which are as follows;

Restrictions on Use of Land

The registered proprietor shall not make or permit or suffer the making of any alterations to any car lift system which is, or shall be, constructed on the lot(s) burdened without the prior consent in writing of Georges River Council.

Name of the Authority having the power to release, vary or modify the Restriction referred to is Georges River Council."

Positive Covenants

- 1. The registered proprietor of the lot(s) hereby burdened will in respect of the system:
 - a) keep the system clean and free from silt, rubbish and debris
 - b) maintain and repair at the sole expense of the registered proprietors the whole of the system so that if functions in a safe and efficient manner
 - c) permit the Council or its authorised agents from time to time and upon giving reasonable notice (but at any time and without notice in the case of an emergency) to enter and inspect the land for the compliance with the requirements of this covenant
 - d) comply with the terms of any written notice issued by the Council in respect of the requirements of this covenant within the time stated in the notice.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 88F(3) of the Conveyancing Act 1919 the Council shall have the following additional powers:
 - a) in the event that the registered proprietor fails to comply with the terms of any written notice issued by the Council as set out above the Council or its authorised agents may enter the land with all necessary materials and equipment and carry out any work which the Council in its discretion considers reasonable to comply with the said notice referred to in part 1(d) above
 - b) the Council may recover from the registered proprietor in a Court of competent jurisdiction:
 - i. any expense reasonably incurred by it in exercising its powers under subparagraph (i) hereof. Such expense shall include reasonable wages for the Council's employees engaged in effecting the work referred to in (i) above, supervising and administering the said work together with costs, reasonably estimated by the Council, for the use of materials, machinery, tools and equipment in conjunction with the said work.

ii. legal costs on an indemnity basis for issue of the said notices and recovery of the said costs and expenses together with the costs and expenses of registration of a covenant charge pursuant to section 88F of the Act or providing any certificate required pursuant to section 88G of the Act or obtaining any injunction pursuant to section 88H of the Act. Name of Authority having the power to release vary or modify the Positive Covenant referred to is Georges River Council.

The restriction and postive covenant must be registered on the title(s) of the land affected by this development prior to the release of any Occupation Certificate by the Principal Certifier.

A notice providing the contact number for maintenance of, or emergency repairs to, the stacker is to be posted next to the stacker.

94. Car Stacker operation and maintenance – Use - The car stacker is to be maintained and operational at all times with the exception of times of active repair, replacement or service maintenance. An up-to-date maintenance contract is to be in place at all times including details of a 24/7 breakdown service, which must be provided to Council staff upon request.

Delete condition 104 to read as follows:

104. Activities and storage of goods outside buildings - There shall be no activities including storing or depositing of any goods or maintenance to any machinery external to the building with the exception of waste receptacles.

Statement of Reasons

The reasons for this recommendation are that:

- The proposal is permissible in the zone with development consent.
- The proposal is an appropriate response to the desired future character of the site and locality.
- The proposed development complies with the requirements of the relevant environmental planning instruments except in the height of the development. Clause 4.6 exception has been submitted in support of the application which is considered to be acceptable having regard to the justification provided in the report above.
- The building will not unreasonably affect the amenity of any immediately adjoining properties in terms of unreasonable overlooking, privacy, overshadowing or view loss.

LPP020-23 248 Railway Parade, Kogarah

(Report by Coordinator Development Assessment)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

Speakers

- Roger Johnson (on behalf of applicant)
- Nigel White (on behalf of applicant)

Voting of the Panel Members

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

Deferral

Modification Application No. MOD2022/0098 involving façade amendments, changes in openings and to balconies, internal alterations including relocating and reconfiguration of suites and floor layout to development consent REV2020/0013 for site remediation, demolition works and construction of a mixed use building containing 3 levels of basement car parking, ground floor commercial and 5 levels of boarding house accommodating 42 rooms inclusive of the caretakers room for the Lot 48 at DP2013, known as 248 Railway Parade, Kogarah, is deferred. The Panel invites the applicant to submit amended detail as follows:

1. The manager's suite, the communal room and communal open space are to be relocated to the northern part of level 5 of the development to ensure that these spaces receive the required solar access being 3 hours between 9am and 3pm mid winter. The floor plate of this level is to be reconfigured accordingly to accommdate this design change.

The matter is to be returned for the consideration of the Panel electronically for determination with the information requested to be provided within 60 days, pursuant to Section 2.20(8) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

LPP025-23 50 Dora Street, Hurstville Lot 8 DP 9355

(Report by Senior Development Assessment Planner)

The Panel carried out an inspection of the site and nearby locality.

Speakers

• Kathy Watson (applicant)

Voting of the Panel Members

The decision of the Panel was unanimous.

<u>Approval</u>

Pursuant to Section 4.16 (1)(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended), Development Application DA2022/0399 for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling and construction of a secondary dwelling at the rear on Lot 8 in DP 9355 on land known as 50 Dora Street, Hurstville, is granted Development Consent subject to:

The Conditions recommended in the report submitted to the Georges River Local Planning Panel meeting of 17 August 2023.

Statement of Reasons

The reasons for this recommendation are that:

- The development is permissible in the R2 Low Density Zone.
- The proposed development complies with the requirements of the relevant environmental planning instruments.

- The proposed development complies with the objectives of the relevant environmental planning instruments where numeric compliance has not been achieved.
- The proposal provides a quality development that will establish a positive urban design outcome while suitably responding to the heritage values of the listed item on the site.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES BY CHAIR

GEORGES RIVER LOCAL PLANNING PANEL (LPP) - 17 AUGUST 2023

RECOMMENDATION

That the Minutes of the Georges River Local Planning Panel (LPP) held on 17 August 2023, be confirmed.

The meeting concluded at 6.52pm	, stores ?
Stephen Alden	CUM REASONSTINE
Stephen Alchin	Annette Ruhotas
Chairperson	Expert Panel Member
Im time 1.1	1 the second sec
Ian Armstrong	Jenny Simpson
Export Danol Member	Community Representative
The Part of the Conders and a contract of the conders and a conder	

Panel Member Name:	Stephen Alchin
Meeting Date:	17 August 2023
Item Numbers:	 LPP022-23 - Proposed Planning Proposal for 28 Carlton Crescent, Kogarah Bay LPP023-23 - 18 Stuart Street, Blakehurst LPP024-23 - 5A The Strand, Penshurst LPP020-23 - 248 Railway Parade, Kogarah LPP025-23 - 50 Dora Street, Hurstville
In relation to the matters on this agenda, I declare that I have:	IPNo known conflict of interest
In relation to item number I have an actual ¹ conflict of interest	
In relation to item number I have a potential ² conflict of interest	
In relation to item number I have a reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest	
Name of Panel Member	Stephen Alika 17/8/2023
 A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with their A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interestive that a panel member's private 	e there is a direct conflict between a and their private interests or other duties. The a panel member has a private interest or oduties as a panel member in the future. There a person could reasonably e interests or other duties are likely to of their duties as a panel member, whether

Panel Member Name:	Annette Ruhotas
Meeting Date:	17 August 2023
Item Numbers:	 LPP022-23 - Proposed Planning Proposal for 28 Carlton Crescent, Kogarah Bay LPP023-23 - 18 Stuart Street, Blakehurst LPP024-23 - 5A The Strand, Penshurst LPP020-23 - 248 Railway Parade, Kogarah LPP025-23 - 50 Dora Street, Hurstville
In relation to the matters on this agenda, I declare that I have:	⊠No known conflict of interest
In relation to item number I have an actual ¹ conflict of interest	Conflict Details
In relation to item number I have a potential ² conflict of interest	Conflict Details
In relation to item number I have a reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest	Conflict Details
Name of Panel Member	A A 10. B. 23
Key of Terms: ¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where member's duties and responsibilities a	e there is a direct conflict between a nd their private interests or other duties.
 A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future. A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably 	
perceive that a panel member's private improperly influence the performance or not this is in fact the case.	e interests or other duties are likely to of their duties as a panel member, whether

Panel Member Name:	Ian Armstrong
Meeting Date:	17 August 2023
Item Numbers:	 LPP022-23 - Proposed Planning Proposal for 28 Carlton Crescent,
	Kogarah Bay
	 LPP023-23 - 18 Stuart Street,
	Blakehurst
	 LPP024-23 - 5A The Strand, Penshurst Strand
	 LPP020-23 - 248 Railway Parade,
	Kogarah
	 LPP025-23 - 50 Dora Street, Hurstville
In relation to the matters on	⊠No known conflict of interest
this agenda, I declare that I	ER-MEL
have: In relation to item number I	
have an actual ¹ conflict of	□Conflict Details
interest	alt
In relation to item number I	□Conflict Details
have a potential ² conflict of	
interest	ocume
In relation to item number I	□Conflict Details
have a reasonably perceived ³	
conflict of interest	CO ^C
Name of Panel Member	29 ¹⁶
E.	Im Amis 1.1
Signature:	
Key of Terms: ¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where member's duties and responsibilities a	e there is a direct conflict between a and their private interests or other duties.
	re a panel member has a private interest or duties as a panel member in the future.
³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interperceive that a panel member's private	erests is where a person could reasonably
	of their duties as a panel member, whether
(TH)-	

	Jenny Simpson
Meeting Date:	17 August 2023
Item Numbers:	 LPP022-23 - Proposed Planning
	Proposal for 28 Carlton Crescent,
	Kogarah Bay
	 LPP023-23 - 18 Stuart Street,
	Blakehurst
	 LPP024-23 - 5A The Strand, Penshurst
	 LPP020-23 - 248 Railway Parade,
	Kogarah
	 LPP025-23 - 50 Dora Street, Hurstville 8
In relation to the matters on	⊠No known conflict of interest
this agenda, I declare that I	
have:	A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.
In relation to item number I	Conflict Details
have an actual ¹ conflict of	(SR ^{NV}
interest	
In relation to item number I	Conflict Details
have a potential ² conflict of	AN ^B
interest	
In relation to item number I	□Conflict Details
have a reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest	ocum
	Chilling Chilling
Name of Panel Member	A.J NOTE
Signature:	
Signature.	The second se
Key of Terms:	2 ¹
	e there is a direct conflict between a
 An actual connict of interests is when 	
	and their private interests or other duties.
member's duties and responsibilities	and their private interests or other duties.
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is who 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is who 	and their private interests or other duties.
 a 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's privation 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's prival improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to
 Member's duties and responsibilities A 'potential' conflict of interests is whe other duty that could conflict with thei A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of in perceive that a panel member's privat improperly influence the performance 	and their private interests or other duties. ere a panel member has a private interest or r duties as a panel member in the future. terests is where a person could reasonably te interests or other duties are likely to