GEORGES RIVER COUNCIL

Declaration of Interest - Georges River Council

MEETING DATE	**5 March 2020**
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	LPP010-20 - 16 Peake Parade Peakhurst

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☑

an actual[1] ☐, potential[2] ☐ or reasonably perceived[3] ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

5 March 2020

Signature **Date**

Sue Francis
Name

[1] An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.
[2] A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.
[3] A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

GEORGES RIVER COUNCIL

Declaration of Interest - Georges River Council

MEETING DATE	**5 March 2020**
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	LPP010-20 - 16 Peake Parade Peakhurst

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☑

an actual[1] ☐, potential[2] ☐ or reasonably perceived[3] ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

Milan Marecic

5 March 2020

Signature **Date**

Milan Marecic
Name

[1] An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.
[2] A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.
[3] A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

GEORGES RIVER COUNCIL

Declaration of Interest - Georges River Council

MEETING DATE	**5 March 2020**
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	LPP010-20 - 16 Peake Parade Peakhurst

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☐

an actual[1] ☐, potential[2] ☐ or reasonably perceived[3] ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

5 March 2020

Signature **Date**

Jason Perica
Name

[1] An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

[2] A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

[3] A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

GEORGES RIVER COUNCIL

Declaration of Interest - Georges River Council

MEETING DATE	**5 March 2020**
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	LPP010-20 - 16 Peake Parade Peakhurst

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☐

an actual[1] ☐, potential[2] ☐ or reasonably perceived[3] ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

5 March 2020

Signature **Date**

Erin Sellers
Name

[1] An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.
[2] A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.
[3] A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.