

Ref No: D19/240536

APPENDIX 6 – Consistency with S9.1 Ministerial Directions

Planning Proposal

**Georges River Local Environmental Plan
(PP2019/0004)**

Direction	Consistency	Comment
1. Employment and Resources		
<p>1.1 Business and Industrial Zones</p> <p><i>Objectives:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>encourage employment growth in suitable locations,</i></p> <p>(b) <i>protect employment land in business and industrial zones, and</i></p> <p>(c) <i>support the viability of identified centres.</i></p>	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction as it protects industrial and commercial zoned land and encourages their growth and viability by introducing new controls.</p> <p>The Planning Proposal seeks to increase the maximum building height for the IN2 Light Industrial zone to improve development viability and encourage industrial development. The proposed controls provide for a flexibility of uses to assist in attracting investment and redevelopment of industrial lands. In addition, the increase in height controls will promote increased industrial floor space as the current FSR of 1:1 cannot be fully achieved within the existing height limit of 10m</p> <p>The Planning Proposal also seeks to increase minimum subdivision lot sizes to prevent the fragmentation of industrial land and retain large lot sizes to provide employment opportunities and allow the operation of a diverse range of industrial uses, such as warehousing which requires large floor plates.</p> <p>It is also proposed to permit creative and innovative industries, food and drink premises, and restaurants and cafes within the IN2 zone to provide flexibility within the zone, promote job creation and to meet the needs of those who work within or visit the industrial precincts.</p> <p>The Planning Proposal introduces a minimum non-residential FSR requirement for shop top housing developments to minimise the net loss of employment floor space across all centres through redevelopment. This will encourage and promote job creation, through</p>

Direction	Consistency	Comment
		<p>the provision of additional commercial office space, which may attract new business to the centres. It is considered that additional retail will assist in transforming these centres, providing increased activation opportunities, which could also enhance the night-time economy.</p> <p>The Planning Proposal also introduces Clause 6.14 “Development in certain business zones” which prohibits residential and tourism and visitor accommodation on the ground floor of any new development within the B1, B2, B4 and B6 zones. This clause will encourage non-residential land uses such as retail on the ground floor, providing opportunities for greater activation.</p>
1.2 Rural Zones	N/A	Not applicable
1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries <i>Objective:</i> <i>To ensure that the future extraction of State or regionally significant reserves of coal, other minerals, petroleum and extractive materials are not compromised by inappropriate development.</i>	Yes	The Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction as it will not have any impact on Mining, Petroleum and Extractive Industries.
1.4 Oyster Aquaculture <i>Objectives:</i> (a) <i>To ensure that Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area are adequately considered when preparing a planning proposal.</i> (b) <i>To protect Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area from land uses that may result in adverse impacts on water quality and consequently, on the health of oyster and oyster consumers.</i>	Yes	The Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction as it will not have any impact on Oyster Aquaculture.
1.5 Rural Lands	N/A	Not applicable
2. Environment and Heritage		
2.1 Environment Protection Zones <i>Objective:</i>	Yes	This Planning Proposal seeks to extend the foreshore scenic

Direction	Consistency	Comment
<i>To protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas.</i>		protection area across the LGA, to protect environmentally sensitive areas, increase the tree canopy and enhance biodiversity within the LGA. In addition, this Planning Proposal seeks to include local provisions for the foreshore area and coastal hazards which will ensure the protection of ecological habitats, riparian lands and watercourses and ensure that development does not impact on the natural foreshore processes. These new local provisions will strengthen the environmental controls applicable to new development across the LGA and mitigate or prevent any adverse environmental impacts of development. Accordingly, this Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
2.2 Coastal Protection <i>Objective:</i> <i>To protect and manage coastal areas of NSW.</i>	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal proposes provisions relating to the foreshore area and coastal hazards that seek to mitigate the impacts of sea level rise and tidal inundation as a result of climate change. In addition, a local provision relating to the management of stormwater has been introduced to minimise the effects of urban stormwater runoff for the purpose of protecting and improving the environmental health of the LGA's waterways.</p> <p>These provisions will not contradict or hinder the application of <i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018</i>. Therefore, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.</p>
2.3 Heritage Conservation <i>Objective:</i> <i>To conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance.</i>	Yes	<p>Council has undertaken a review of the heritage items under the <i>Hurstville LEP</i>. The <i>Heritage Review</i> recommends three heritage items be removed as they no longer have any heritage significance either due to redevelopment or demolition. The <i>Review</i> also seeks administrative amendments to the descriptions of 19 items to clarify that both the built and setting elements are part of the</p>

Direction	Consistency	Comment
		<p>heritage item's significance. All existing heritage items listed within the former Kogarah LGA are to be retained.</p> <p>The Planning Proposal incorporates the amendments recommended in the <i>Heritage Review</i> in Schedule 5 (Environmental Heritage) of the <i>GRLEP 2020</i>.</p> <p>Therefore, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.</p>
2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas	N/A	Not applicable. This Planning Proposal does not have any impact on land to be developed for the purpose of a recreation vehicle area.
2.5 Application of E2 and E3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs	N/A	Not applicable
3. Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development		
<p>3.1 Residential Zones</p> <p><i>Objectives:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>To encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs</i></p> <p>(b) <i>To make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and ensure that new housing has appropriate access to infrastructure and services</i></p> <p>(c) <i>To minimise the impact of residential development on environment and resource lands.</i></p>	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal provides additional housing through the up-zoning of existing low density residential areas to medium density and high density in highly accessible areas which are serviced by shops, schools, open space and community facilities. The areas proposed to be up-zoned are located within walking distance to transport infrastructure, such as train stations and frequent bus services.</p> <p>The Planning Proposal also incorporates a new local provision – Clause 6.13 “Development for the purposes of dual key dwellings in Zones R2 and R3”. The purpose of this clause is to enable dual key dwellings to provide housing choice and diversity, and affordable housing, such as within under occupied large dwellings.</p> <p>Therefore, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.</p>
3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates	Yes	The Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction as it

Direction	Consistency	Comment
<p>Objectives:</p> <p>(a) <i>To provide for a variety of housing types</i></p> <p>(b) <i>To provide opportunities for caravan parks and manufactured home estates.</i></p>		will not have any impact on caravan parks and manufactured home estates as no provisions for these purposes are proposed.
<p>3.3 Home Occupations</p> <p>Objective:</p> <p><i>To encourage the carrying out of low-impact small businesses in dwelling houses.</i></p>	Yes	The Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction as home occupations are permitted to be carried out in dwelling houses in all residential zones without the need for development consent.
<p>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</p> <p>Objective:</p> <p><i>To ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>Improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car</i></p> <p>(d) <i>Supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services</i></p> <p>(e) <i>Providing for the efficient movement of freight.</i></p>	Yes	<p>This Planning Proposal provides additional housing through the up-zoning of existing low density residential areas in highly accessible areas located within walking distance to commercial centres and transport infrastructure, such as train stations and frequent bus services. The locations of these up-zoned areas have been chosen to provide existing and future residents the opportunity to access jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, reducing travel demand and dependence on cars. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.</p>
<p>3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes</p> <p>Objectives:</p> <p>(a) <i>to ensure the effective and safe operation of regulated airports and defence airfields;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>to ensure that their operation is not compromised by development that constitutes an obstruction, hazard or potential hazard to aircraft flying in the vicinity; and</i></p> <p>(c) <i>to ensure development, if situated on noise sensitive land, incorporates appropriate</i></p>	Yes	The Planning Proposal proposes two local provisions, namely Clause 6.7 (Airspace operations) and Clause 6.8 (Development in areas subject to aircraft noise), which will ensure development does not interfere with aircraft operations and that noise sensitive development is prevented from being located near Sydney Kingsford Smith and its flight paths. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.

Direction	Consistency	Comment
<i>mitigation measures so that the development is not adversely affected by aircraft noise.</i>		
3.6 Shooting Ranges	N/A	The Planning Proposal does not affect, create, alter or remove a zone or a provision relating to land adjacent to and/or adjoining an existing shooting range.
3.7 Reduction in non-hosted short term rental accommodation period	N/A	Not applicable
4. Hazard and Risk		
4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils <i>Objective: To avoid significant adverse environmental impacts from the use of land that has a probability of containing acid sulfate soils.</i>	Yes	The Planning Proposal seeks to adopt the model Acid Sulphate Soils clause within the <i>GRLEP 2020</i> . The objective of the clause is to ensure that development does not disturb, expose or drain acid sulphate soils and cause environmental damage. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land <i>Objective To prevent damage to life, property and the environment on land identified as unstable or potentially subject to mine subsidence.</i>	N/A	Not applicable
4.3 Flood Prone Land <i>Objectives (a) to ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005, and (b) to ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land.</i>	Yes	Currently, only the <i>KLEP 2012</i> contains a flood planning clause. The Planning Proposal seeks to expand the application of this clause to the whole LGA (Clause 6.3 – Flood planning) to ensure that all developments incorporate appropriate measures to manage flood hazards consistently across the LGA where there are known potential risks of flooding through the inclusion of two additional layers – the “flood planning zone” to the Flood Planning Map, and a new Probable Maximum Flood Map. The controls pertaining to PMF are only proposed to apply to sensitive uses such as hospitals and schools and do not include additional flood controls beyond the Flood Planning Level for residential development.

Direction	Consistency	Comment
		Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
<p>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection Objectives</p> <p>(a) <i>to protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, by discouraging the establishment of incompatible land uses in bush fire prone areas, and</i></p> <p>(b) <i>to encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas.</i></p>	Yes	The proposed up-zonings that will result in residential intensification under this Planning Proposal are located in existing urban areas and are not located in areas known to be bushfire affected. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
5. Regional Planning		
5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	N/A	Not applicable
5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments	N/A	Not applicable
5.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast	N/A	Not applicable
5.4 Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast	N/A	Not applicable
5.5 Revoked	N/A	Not applicable
5.6 Revoked	N/A	Not applicable
5.7 Revoked	N/A	Not applicable
5.8 Revoked	N/A	Not applicable
5.9 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy	N/A	Not applicable
5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans	N/A	Not applicable
5.11 Development of Aboriginal Land Council land	N/A	Not applicable
6. Local Plan Making		
<p>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</p> <p><i>Objective:</i></p> <p><i>To ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development.</i></p>	Yes	The Planning Proposal does not include provisions that require the concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications to a Minister or public authority. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
<p>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</p> <p><i>Objective:</i></p> <p><i>(a) To facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public</i></p>	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal seeks to include four new land acquisitions by Council for the provision of open space and road widening as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 53 Forest Road, 9 Roberts Lane and 108 Durham Street to facilitate

Direction	Consistency	Comment
<p><i>purposes, and</i></p> <p>(b) <i>To facilitate the removal of reservations of land for public purposes where the land is no longer required for acquisition.</i></p>		<p>a 3m wide local road widening along Roberts Lane.</p> <p>- 26-30 Culwulla Street, South Hurstville to enable the creation of a larger park and facilitate through site access.</p> <p>- 11-21 Monaro Avenue, Kingsgrove (Peter Lowe Reserve) to facilitate improved access to the park, safety and public surveillance.</p> <p>- 7 Hedley Street, Riverwood and 13-15 Keith Street, Peakhurst (Peakhurst Park) to enable expansion of the park and facilitate improved access.</p> <p>Since the Planning Proposal facilitates the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.</p>
<p>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</p> <p><i>Objective:</i></p> <p><i>To discourage unnecessary restrictive site specific planning controls.</i></p>	Yes	No new site-specific provisions are proposed as part of the Planning Proposal. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
7. Metropolitan Planning		
<p>7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney</p> <p><i>Objective:</i></p> <p><i>To give legal effect to the planning principles, directions and priorities for subregions, strategic centres and transport gateways contained in A Plan for Growing Sydney.</i></p>	Yes	<i>A Plan for Growing Sydney</i> has been replaced by the Greater Sydney Commission's <i>Greater Sydney Region Plan – A Metropolis of Three Cities</i> . The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Objectives of <i>A Metropolis of Three Cities</i> , as detailed in Appendix 2 of the Planning Proposal.
7.2 Implementation of Greater Macarthur Land Release Investigation	N/A	Not applicable
7.3 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation strategy	N/A	Not applicable
7.4 Implementation of North West Priority Growth Area Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	N/A	Not applicable
7.5 Implementation of Greater Parramatta Priority Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	N/A	Not applicable
7.6 Implementation of Wilton	N/A	Not applicable

Direction	Consistency	Comment
Priority Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan		
7.7 Implementation of Glenfield to Macarthur Urban Renewal Corridor	N/A	Not applicable
7.8 Implementation of Western Sydney Aerotropolis Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	N/A	Not applicable
7.9 Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan	N/A	Not applicable
7.10 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct	N/A	Not applicable