

Ref No: D19/240535

# **APPENDIX 5 – Consistency with State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)**

## **Planning Proposal**

**Georges River Local Environmental Plan**

**(PP2019/0004)**

State Environmental Planning Policy	Consistency	Comment
State Environmental Planning Policy No 1 – Development Standards	Yes	The Standard Instrument Clause 4.6 will supersede the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 19 – Bushland in Urban Areas	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal introduces the following new local provisions that seek to protect and enhance the tree canopy and biodiversity within the LGA:</p> <p>Clause 6.6: “Foreshore scenic protection area” – the objective of this clause is to protect, maintain and improve the scenic amenity, significant views, diversity and condition of native vegetation and habitats, and environmental, social and character values of the Georges River foreshore in line with the overarching principles of this LEP.</p> <p>- Clause 6.12: “Landscaped areas in residential and environmental protection zones” - the objective of this clause is to ensure that the landscaped character of residential suburbs is preserved, minimise urban run-off by maximising permeable areas, minimise the visual impact of development, and ensure that vegetation which contributes to biodiversity and tree canopy is retained. This clause aims to reduce the urban heat island effect by increasing urban vegetation and permeable surfaces.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy No 21 – Caravan Parks	Yes	The Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP as no provisions for caravan parks are proposed.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 33 – Hazardous and Offensive Development	Yes	The Planning Proposal does not permit hazardous or offensive industries through the <i>GRLEP 2020</i> . Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 36 – Manufactured Home Estates	N/A	Not applicable

State Environmental Planning Policy	Consistency	Comment
State Environmental Planning Policy No 44 – Koala Habitat Protection	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy No 47 – Moore Park Showground	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy No 50 – Canal Estate Development	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy No 55 – Remediation of Land	N/A	<p>All of the land that is proposed to be rezoned under the Planning Proposal to allow increased residential density (i.e. the Housing Investigation Areas) is currently zoned residential and is urban land. Therefore, the sites proposed for rezoning are unlikely to be contaminated.</p> <p>Based on the records available to Council, the proposed rezonings from SP2 Infrastructure to the adjoining zone, such as R2 Low Density Residential, do not include sites that contain existing activities that may cause contamination as identified by the EPA's <i>Planning Guidelines for Managing Land Contamination</i>.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy No 64 – Advertising and Signage	Yes	The Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal introduces a new local provision for design excellence to deliver the highest standards of architecture and design across the LGA. The clause applies to new developments and substantial redevelopments of 12m or greater in the high density residential, industrial and business zones.</p> <p>The subject development will need to be peer-reviewed by an urban designer or a registered</p>

State Environmental Planning Policy	Consistency	Comment
		<p>architect appointed from Council's panel of design experts against the heads of consideration listed in this clause, which include the suitability of the land for development, the relationship of the development with other development (existing or proposed) on the same site or on neighbouring sites in terms of separation, setbacks, amenity and urban form, bulk, massing and modulation of buildings.</p> <p>The proposed clause complements SEPP 65 in improving the design quality of residential apartment development. Development applications will need to comply with SEPP 65, the Apartment Design Guide and the design excellence clause.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy No 70 – Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)	Yes	<p>Council is currently preparing an <i>Inclusive Housing Strategy</i> and a supporting Delivery Plan. The Delivery Plan will include an Affordable Housing Contribution Scheme which will set out how, where, and at what rate development contributions can be collected by Council for affordable housing.</p> <p>The <i>Inclusive Housing Strategy</i> and the supporting Delivery Plan will inform the Stage 2 (Housing Choice) LEP in the staged LEP process. This Planning Proposal does not propose the implementation of delivery mechanisms for affordable housing. However, the Strategy will establish a policy position that affordable housing will be provided through planning proposals and the associated voluntary planning agreement process in the short term with exploration of provisions for affordable housing through infill development in future LEPs.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>

State Environmental Planning Policy	Consistency	Comment
State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Land) 2019	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	N/A	<p>As stated above, this Planning Proposal does not propose the implementation of delivery mechanisms for affordable housing which will be implemented in Stage 2 of the LEP programme.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal introduces a new local provision for environmental sustainability in certain business, industrial and residential zones. The intent of this clause is to ensure that all development with a GFA of 1,500sqm or greater in business, industrial and high density residential zones embrace the best practice principles of environmentally sustainable development.</p> <p>The clause requires a statement of verification to be submitted with a development application by an Australian Building Sustainability Association accredited assessor demonstrating that the development satisfies environmentally sustainable principles such as water efficiency, reducing the urban heat island effect and reducing energy demands.</p> <p>The proposed clause complements BASIX in encouraging sustainable development. Development applications will need to comply with BASIX and the environmentally sustainable local provision.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal introduces a new local provision, Clause 6.5 – Foreshore area and coastal hazards and risks. The intent of this clause is to enhance the protection of the natural environment along the LGA's foreshore</p>

State Environmental Planning Policy	Consistency	Comment
		<p>in line with the overarching principles of this LEP. The inclusion of the coastal hazard area based on the findings of the <i>Tidal Inundation Study</i> will ensure that there is a focus on addressing coastal hazards and risk through the development assessment process as the local provisions of the existing LEPs do not provide a clear link to policy on coastal hazard and risks.</p> <p>If a proposed development falls within land to which this clause applies, consideration must be given towards the impacts of sea level rise and tidal inundation as a result of climate change, impacts on the water quality of the Georges River, and other coastal hazards.</p> <p>The proposed clause complements the Coastal Management SEPP. Development applications will need to comply with the Coastal Management SEPP and the Foreshore area and coastal hazards and risks local provision.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Concurrences) 2018	Yes	The Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal permits educational establishments and early education and care facilities in all residential and business zones to facilitate the delivery of these land uses, consistent with the aims of the SEPP.</p> <p>The Planning Proposal also recognises the importance of retaining existing educational uses by rezoning land owned by education providers and operating as a school to SP2 “Educational establishments” to formalise the use of these lands as schools and retain their use.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with the SEPP.</p>

State Environmental Planning Policy	Consistency	Comment
State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal does not propose the inclusion of any exempt or complying development and instead relies on the provisions of the Codes SEPP.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal rezones land that is currently identified as SP2 “Aged Care” under the <i>HLEP 2012</i> to the adjoining zone as seniors housing is permissible under the State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Gosford City Centre)	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal seeks to harmonise the existing SP2 Infrastructure zones under the <i>HLEP 2012</i> and <i>KLEP 2012</i>, consistent with the aim of the Infrastructure SEPP to improve regulatory certainty and efficiency through a consistent planning regime for infrastructure and the provision of services. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Kosciuszko National Park – Alpine Resorts) 2007	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Kurnell Peninsula) 1989	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production & Extractive Industries) 2007	Yes	<p>The Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.</p>

<b>State Environmental Planning Policy</b>	<b>Consistency</b>	<b>Comment</b>
State Environmental Planning Policy (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007	Yes	The Planning Proposal does not contain provisions that contradict or hinder the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Primary Production and Rural Development) 2019	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (State Significant Precincts) 2005	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Three Ports) 2013	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Urban Renewal) 2010	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non Rural Areas) 2017	Yes	As discussed above, the Planning Proposal introduces the following new local provisions that seek to protect and enhance the tree canopy and biodiversity within the LGA: - Clause 6.6: "Foreshore scenic protection area" - Clause 6.12: "Landscaped areas in residential and environmental protection zones"



<b>State Environmental Planning Policy</b>	<b>Consistency</b>	<b>Comment</b>
		These local provisions are consistent with the aims of the SEPP to protect the biodiversity and preservation of trees and other vegetation. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009	N/A	Not applicable
State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009	N/A	Not applicable
Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005	N/A	Not applicable
Greater Metropolitan Regional Environmental Plan No 2—Georges River Catchment		<p>The Planning Proposal introduces a new local provision, Clause 6.5 – Foreshore area and coastal hazards and risks. The intent of this clause is to enhance the protection of the natural environment along the LGA's foreshore in line with the overarching principles of this LEP.</p> <p>If a proposed development falls within land to which this clause applies, consideration must be given to a number of matters, including impacts on the water quality of the Georges River, consistent with the aims of the Greater Metropolitan Regional Environmental Plan No 2 – Georges River Catchment. Accordingly, the Planning Proposal is consistent with this deemed SEPP.</p>